

Interpretation Notes Fairtrade Standard for Coffee

Version 15.07.2021_2.11

Change history

Date of publication of Interpretation Notes	Changes in the Interpretation Notes				
22/01/2024	Addition of the interpretation of the requirement 2.2.8				
04/04/2024	Addition of the interpretation of the requirement 1.1.1				
04/08/2024	Addition of the interpretation of the requirement 4.1.1				
26/09/2024	Addition of the interpretation of the requirements 3.1.2, 3.1.5, 3.1.6 and 3.1.7				
11/12/2024	Addition of the interpretation of the requirements 3.1.8 and 3.1.9				
09/04/2025	Amended Interpretation Notes to provide clarifications in requirements: 3.1.2 (timeline on alert resolution), 3.1.5, 3.1.6, 3.1.8, 3.1.9 (minor re-wording).				
30/10/2025	Wording change from farm to plot in geolocation data-related requirements in section 3.1 and changes in date of implementation in requirements 3.1.6, 3.1.8, and 3.1.9: Screenshot exchanged for requirement 3.1.2, 3.1.5, 3.1.6, 3.1.7, 3.1.8 and 3.1.9				
24.11.2025	Removed interpretation note for requirement 3.1.9, following requirement suspension.				



Date	04 April 2024					
Reference	Fairtrade Standard for Coffee					
Affected	1.1.1 Producer ergenizations					
standard	1.1.1 Producer organizations Applies to: Fairtrade producer organizations					
requirement						
	Core You demonstrate tha	at you have been an established and active organization for at least two				
	years prior to reques	sting certification, with administrative, technical, commercial, and financial ng General Assembly records of the last two years, and a business				
	If your organization of with an exporter.	does not export the crop directly, you demonstrate that you are engaged				
	certification with a lett engagement with a Fa	te you have market potential for at least the first two years of Fairtrade ter of intent from at least one buyer, with formal communication of business airtrade buyer (importer/roaster); and a business plan agreed between the irtrade buyer (importer/roaster).				
	See the <u>Interpretation</u> be checked.	n note for more information on how the compliance to this requirement will				
	potential) and 1.1.5 (Collective and der The business development plan is exp plans, membership development plan business plan, given sale estimates an	pected to include all information related to technical assistance capacities and priorities, work and information on production and sales estimates. This document can also serve as the nd buyer name are included, demonstrating the aforementioned market potential. or the required assistance with an exporter is provided, whether already Fairtrade certified or				
Interpretation		nents 1.1.1 for producers in the Fairtrade Standard				
	coffee be interpreted when Fairtrade certified SPOs decide to set up new legal entities within the existing structure or divide into complete separate legal entities to be in compliance with the EU organic regulation?"					
	In 2018 the EU published the "Organic Regulation (EU) 2018/848. It has a key section talking about "Groups of operators": Art. 36. Also several secondary acts were published. In the Regulation (EU) 2021/279 "Control" (Jan 2021,) clarifies several conditions for the newly defined concept of "Groups of Operators (GoO)". There are a few elements that are relevant and thus may have an implication for Fairtrade certified organizations. These are:					
		nly of organic or in-conversion farmers				
	✓ All must be within the new	wly defined farm size or organic turnover limit and 0 members per Group of Operators are allowed				
		e certified SPOs creating new legal entities within the POs to comply with the new organic regulation.	SPO			
	purpose of managing the G the original SPO, the Gro	the SPO decide to create a new legal entity or more for Group of Operators and commercialize the product thr oup of Operators structure(s) will not affect the exi will not be considered as a new producer organization u	ough isting			
	responsibilities beyond commercialization of the p	al SPO decides to split into a new organization(s), takin managing the Group of Operators, including product and managing the Fairtrade certification, the ply for certification and should be exempted of the ne	the			



show the documents named in the entry requirement 1.1.1. and instead submit to FLOCERT the following:

- Organic certificate
- The ID of the Fairtrade certified SPO
- The list of members of the original Fairtrade certified SPO and the list of members of the newly created SPO. Whereby at least 90% of the members should also be in the list of the original Fairtrade certified SPO.

The purpose of this is to make sure that Fairtrade certified SPOs are able to continue with their organic certification following the new EU regulations. All other requirements relevant to the SPO certification remain applicable.

Date 22 January 2024 Fairtrade Standard for Coffee Reference Affected 2.2.8 Role of exporters standard Applies to: Exporters requirement You define your role as payer or conveyor clearly in the contract. The responsibilities outlined in the Trader Standard regarding the payment and agreement on market price and the price Year 0 differential for conveyors are explicit in the contract. If acting as a conveyor, you sell directly to a Fairtrade payer. See the Interpretation note for more information on how the compliance to this requirement will be checked. Guidance: Please note that this requirement complements Trader Standard requirement 5.2.1 and 5.2.6. In cases where an SPO with export capacity provides an export service to other producer organizations, the role of payer or conveyor also applies. If acting as a payer, exporters own the Fairtrade coffee and are responsible for all payments including Fairtrade Premium, organic differential and negotiated prevailing differential. The payment should be completed on usual Cash Against Document (CAD) terms. Interpretation The Intention of the requirement: To ensure exporters have a clear and transparent role in the supply chain and their

To ensure exporters have a clear and transparent role in the supply chain and their responsibilities are known by the Fairtrade Producer Organisations. As per the requirement 2.2.8 on the Role of exporters of the Coffee Standards, exporters, when acting as premium and minimum price conveyors, shall sell coffee directly to a Fairtrade payer.

The problem statement:

In Colombia, the coffee supply chain is well structured since some years already. The country has two main exporters who act as conveyors. Nevertheless, they have many POs supplying them coffee, from now on refer as cooperatives. Those cooperatives have their own production, but they can also buy Fairtrade coffee from other SPOs, and in such case they act as a trader to the SPO. In this situation the cooperative pays local price for the product and provides services to the SPO, then sells the product to an exporter who sells to an importer that act as the Fairtrade Premium and Price Payer. This situation is accepted only when a tripartite contract is signed between the SPO, the cooperative (acting as trader), and the exporter, specifying that the exporters (acting as a conveyors of the premium), must directly transmit the premium to the SPO. Also, the cooperatives (acting as traders) will be price conveyors and will have to pay the applicable differentials (e.g. organic and prevailing) and the difference between the



local price and price agreed with the Fairtrade price payer, but it cannot be lower than the Fairtrade minimum price.

The tripartite contract must specify the following:

Price paid by the cooperative when purchasing from the SPO.

Price paid by the exporter to the cooperative (acting as trader), breaking down the price agreed, the differentials and premium.

The terms of payment of the Fairtrade Price, differentials and premium, to the SPO from the cooperative and the exporter.

The date on which the settlements will be made (SPO to the cooperative, and the cooperative to the exporter).

Applicable exchange rate for the payments.

Deductions made for the services provided by the cooperative (acting as trader) and the exporter.

Profit margins deducted by both traders.

Amount of coffee sold from the Fairtrade Producer Organisations to the cooperative (acting as trader).



Date	26 September 2024						
Reference	Fairtrade Standard for Coffee						
Affected	3.1.2 NEW 2027No deforestation on farms						
Standard	Applies to: Fairtrade producer organizations						
requirement	Applies to. Failuade producer organizations						
	Core There has been no deforestation or degradation in primary or secondary forests, protected areas, and areas of High Conservation Value or Carbon Storage ecosystems to convert land						
	Year 0 into agricultural production areas, since 31st December 2018.						
	Production does not occur in areas officially designated as buffer zones, except where it complies with applicable national law.						
	See the Interpretation note for more information on how the compliance to this requirement will be checked.						
	Guidance: Deforestation is the conversion of forest to other land use or the permanent reduction of the tree canopy cover, area of land cover by forest, below the minimum 10 percent threshold (The Global Forest Resources Assessment, FAO, 2015). A High Conservation Value (HCV) is a biological, ecological, social or cultural value (area) of outstanding significance or critical importance. (A good practice guide for the adaptative management of HCVs, HCV Resource Network, 2018). A protected area is a clearly defined geographical space, recognised, dedicated and managed, through legal or other effective means, to achieve the long term conservation of nature with associated ecosystem services and cultural values (IUCN Definition, 2008). Primary forest means naturally regenerated forest of native tree species, where there are no clearly visible indications of human activities, and the ecological processes are not significantly disturbed (EU Regulation 2023/1115, Article 2). A secondary forest (or second-growth forest) is a forest or woodland area which has regenerated through largely natural processes after human-caused disturbances, such as timber harvest or agriculture clearing, or equivalently disruptive natural phenomena (Chokkalingam, U.; de Jong, W. (2001-11-12). "Array - CIFOR Knowledge"). Forest degradation means structural changes to forest cover, taking the form of the conversion of: (a) primary forests or naturally regenerating forests into plantation forests or into other wooded land; or (b) primary forests into planted forests (EU Regulation 2023/1115, Article 2). Carbon storage ecosystems are terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems with a capacity to sequester and store carbon, maintain environmental quality and provide living conditions to plants and animals (SPO standard, req. 3.2.31). Buffer zones are designated areas used to protect sensitive landscape patches (e.g., wetlands, wildlife reserves) from negative external pressures (USDA) The following activities are not considered 'deforestation':						
Interpretation	What is the rule and how does it work?						
	All SPOs certified in Fairtrade coffee are required to provide geolocation data for all members' plots to Fairtrade International according to the formats and templates provided on an annual basis to meet the Standard requirements.						
	If alerts of deforestation and/or farming in protected areas are identified by Fairtrade International, the SPO assesses the alerts reported and takes action to resolve the alert It is the responsibility of the SPO to share deforestation alerts with the relevant member of the SPO.						
	If an alert is determined to be false, the SPO must dispute the deforestation alert by submitting this information to Fairtrade International within 3 months of receiving the alert, using the provided template for alert disputes. If alerts are confirmed to be true, the SPO would need to take corrective actions according to the guidance provided by Fairtrade International in the following link: https://nextcloud.fairtrade.net/index.php/s/T9FF82xe25GF9Hs .						



NTERNATIONAL							
	SPOs must submit to Fairtrade International the action taken for each alert within 3						
	months of receiving the alert.						
Date	26 September 2024						
Reference	Fairtrade Standard for Coffee						
Affected	2.1.5 NEW 2026 Supporting producers to provent and mitigate deforactation						
Standard	3.1.5 NEW 2026 Supporting producers to prevent and mitigate deforestation						
requirement	Applies to: Payers and Conveyors						
	You support the SPOs you are sourcing from, with their deforestation and forest degradation risk assessment and mitigation plan, to conserve the forest and vegetation. See the Interpretation note for more information on how compliance with this requirement will be checked. Guidance: Your support is either direct or through a partnership. It is in the form of funding, data sharing, training, facilitation of partnerships and/or advocacy. You may share any relevant data including deforestation monitoring data you have on the SPO membership or surrounding area to inform and support the SPO's mitigation plan. This requirement complement the Trader Standard's requirement 3.3.6, on support with their action plan.						
Interpretation	 What is the rule? Payers and conveyors are required to support producers to implement a plan to prevent and mitigate any deforestation and degradation of forest, in order to conserve and restore forest and vegetation. The support can be direct or through a partnership and in the form of funding, data sharing, training, facilitation of partnerships, advocacy or other ways. Traders may share any relevant data including deforestation monitoring data on the SPO membership or surrounding area to inform and support the SPO's mitigation activities. How does it work? Support to producer organizations is evidenced annually either via direct interventions or via partner initiatives with relevant government agencies, environmental NGOs, traders or similar. How can a trader fulfil the requirement to support producers with deforestation prevention plans? 1. Funding - a defined amount paid by a trader to SPOs. The amount can be paid in the following ways. - Directly to SPOs or through a third party. The trader will provide the certification body with evidence of payment to the SPO. Any payment would be valid as meeting compliance for 12 months. The evidence must detail the following: which SPO received the funding; the value; the 12-months period for which the payment was due; and the date it was paid. - By a trader to another trader for passing onto an SPO. The trader receiving the funding; the value; the 12-months period for which the payment was due; and the date it was paid. This can be submitted as evidence to the 						
	 certification body by the traders. 2. Training or other forms of material support – a defined training activity or other form of material support provided to specific SPOs. 						
	- Where the trader is delivering the training by itself or a third party to the SPO						



or other forms of material support* the trader must name the SPO and date of training, and the cost of the training, as evidence that the activity was conducted. This could be valid as meeting compliance 12 months from date of training, there is a minimum of one training per year.

- Where a trader has instructed another trader or third party to provide training or other forms of material support to the SPO the trader or third party providing the training must provide the name of the SPO and the date of the training, as well as the cost. This could be valid as meeting compliance 12 months from date of the training. There is a minimum of one training per year.

*Material support can mean assistance with, geolocation data collection or access to geolocation data collected for the trader. This can include supporting SPO with:

- Access to SPOs of high quality satellite deforestation monitoring data.
- The digital collection and management of geolocation data.
- Access digital first mile digital traceability systems
- Ground truthing the validating and where necessary disputing satellite generating deforestation alerts
- Reforestation

3. Advocacy with government

 Advocacy activities must be around tangible actions on how the trader is taking responsibility to prevent and mitigate any deforestation and degradation of forest. The cost of these activities have to be mentioned. As part of the advocacy work, proposals should aim to seek a specific change for the sector to the governments.

There should be a minimum 1 public proposal per year. This could be valid as meeting compliance 12-months from date of communication to the governments. The trader should present evidence to the auditor about the interaction with the governments of the country of production and/or country of consumption.

4. Facilitation of partnerships

 A partnership is where funding or training has been delivered to an SPO via a third party. The trader provides proof of the partnership and related activities.
 The cost of the activities must be stated.

5. Other ways

Other forms of interventions where quantifiable resources have passed from the trader to the SPO that are not part of the above possible methods. For example, a trader is supporting reforestation of deforested areas in partnership with an SPO. The resources must have a defined monetary value for each year of implementation. There must be evidence from the trader that the SPO has received the resources through confirmation from the SPO.



Date	26 September 2024				
Reference	Fairtrade Standard for Coffee				
Affected	3.1.6 NEW 2027 Geolocation data				
Standard requirement	Applies to: Fairtrade producer organizations				
	Core Geolocation data is available for 100% of your members cultivating coffee as GPS location points or GPS polygons.				
	As a minimum you have GPS polygons for plots over four hectares and GPS location points for the plots smaller than four hectares. You identify and prioritize which other plots should be polygon mapped, based upon area risk of deforestation and adopt a phased approach.				
	You use the data to further inform your plans to prevent deforestation.				
	See the Interpretation note for more information on how compliance with this requirement will be checked.				
	Geolocation data is available of all plots of land for 100% of their member plots cultivating Fairtrade certified coffee. The list below describes the types of geolocation data, either geolocation points or polygons, that will need to be available: • Polygon data are required for all plots defined as high risk, see below for definition. • Polygon data are required for any single plot of farmland growing Fairtrade certified coffee 4 hectares or larger. • Point or polygon locations are acceptable for any single plot of farmland less than 4 hectares and not defined as high risk. High risk plots are defined as plots that meet one of these criteria: • Evidence of deforestation within 500 meters of plot boundary, for example, a deforestation alert from deforestation monitoring technology. Where this analysis is provided by Fairtrade International's provider that analysis takes precedence over any other. • Plot boundary is within 200 meters of a protected area.				



Date	26 September 2024				
Reference	Fairtrade Standard for Coffee				
Affected					
standard	3.1.7 NEW 2027 Sharing geolocation data				
requirement	Applies to: Payers and conveyors				
	Geolocation data is available for 100% of the coffee plots you are sourcing coffee from, as GPS location points or GPS polygons. As a minimum you have GPS polygons for coffee plots over four hectares, and GPS location points for coffee plots smaller than four hectares. Year 0				
	Guidance: Regarding 100% of the plots – in some cases, traceability systems may be in place that allow the payer/conveyor to identify specifically the plots supplying the coffee they are purchasing. The payer/trader may wish to declare this and submit supporting evidence to the certifier. If no such traceability systems are in place, the payer/conveyor can declare the geolocation data of all registered farms associated with the Fairtrade producer organizations they are sourcing from. At the least, the geolocation data should be collected upon arrival in port of destination.				
Interpretation	What is the rule and how does it work? Payers/conveyors are expected to have geolocation data of the plots units they are sourcing coffee from. When geodata is collected from farmer members of a producer organization by the payer/conveyor, the payer/conveyor shares this information with the management of the producer organization. This data should be shared using the formats defined by Fairtrade International. Evidence of the shared file should be available to FLOCERT at audit, e.g., by showing an email. The guidance document can be found here. By default, the Payer/Conveyor declares the geolocation data of all registered plots associated with the Fairtrade producer organizations they are sourcing from. However, if the payer/conveyor is able to demonstrate via documentary evidence that they can identify the sub-section of plots units supplying their coffee (due to identity preserved/physical traceability system being in place) the payer/conveyor may declare the geolocation data of these plots units only. This same logic applies to the trader reporting requirement 3.1.9 when reporting geolocation data to				



Date	11 December 2024						
Reference	Fairtrade Standard for Coffee						
Affected	3.1.8 NEW 2027 SPO reporting						
Standard	3.1.8						
requirement		Applies to: Fairtrade producer organizations					
		Core You report data to Fairtrade International every year, through the FairInsight platform.					
		Year 1 https://fairinsight.agunity.com					
		1 ear 1	See the Interpretation note for more information on how compliance with this requirement				
			will be checked.				
		Reporting	indicators:				
			cation and Forest	- available geolocation data of member coffee plots.			
			ss Monitoring Data	aramazio georgiani dalla or monizor conce picta.			
			restation Prevention and on Support - type of support received during the past year, including estimated monetary value, to prevent and mitigate deforestation and degradation of forest				
Interpretation			le and how does				
				deforestation mitigation, including the geolocation			
		nd the ty ational.	pe of support rece	ived from traders has to be submitted to Fairtrade			
	interna	alionai.					
	For de	olocation	n data, quidance m	ay be found <u>here</u> on how to prepare the geolocation			
				submit it to Fairtrade International:			
				ex.php/s/T9FF82xe25GF9Hs			
				elease form with the geolocation data. The consent			
				guages with the geolocation data templates here: ex.php/s/T9FF82xe25GF9Hs .			
	Geolo	ocation data will only be considered if it is submitted with a signed consent form.					
	Goolo	cation F	Data Reporting				
				annually in the template provided by Fairtrade			
	Geolocation data is reported annually in the template provided by Fairtrade International, and confirmed as valid by Fairtrade International. Evidence that the						
				Fairtrade International, should be available during			
			o boom provided to	Training and the memorial of the second seco			
		the audit. Where there has been no change to the plot size or location, applied data submission.					
	Where there has been no change to the plot size or location, annual data submission can include data collected in prior years.						
	SPO geolocation data is shared with a third-party service provider. There are two						
	possible outcomes of this data reporting process: the geolocation data is confirmed to be received and valid, or the geolocation data is confirmed to be received but						
	considered not valid because it contains errors.						
	Solicido de Not valid booddoo it containo circio.						
				ed to be valid by Fairtrade International: the SPO			
	needs to keep this confirmation in their records for the next audit. in this case,						
	Fairtrade will notify the SPO that the data are valid, the information around data						
	validity is all contained in the geolocation data validation form. Please keep this form						



for your records to produce at the next audit. This will serve as confirmation that your organization has successfully submitted your geolocation data to Fairtrade for Coffee Standard requirement 3.1.8.

2. The geolocation data contains errors: identified by Fairtrade International and/or the third-party service provider: In this case, Fairtrade will notify the SPO that the data are not valid, and corrections are needed., this is all contained in the geolocation data validation form. The SPO needs to correct the errors and re-submit the complete and corrected geolocation data according to the reporting instructions. Fairtrade rechecks the data and once the data are considered valid the SPO will receive a confirmation which is all contained in the geolocation data validation form, this will serve as a proof of compliance during the audit. Auditors need access to the geolocation data validation form during the audit to confirm if the geolocation data submitted is validated.

Reporting Deforestation prevention and mitigation support

SPOs report this information on an annual basis via the FairInsight online platform: (https://fairinsight.agunity.com). The survey form is available on the "My Surveys" page. After submitting your responses, please ensure you save proof of submission from the "My Surveys" by printing, exporting as a PDF or taking a screenshot as proof that you have completed the annual reporting.



Date	04 October 2022						
Reference	Fairtrade Standard for Coffee						
Affected	4.1.1 Market p	rice reference					
standard requirements	Applies to: Fairtrade producers organizations, payers and conveyors						
roquiromonto	Core When market prices for coffee are higher than the Fairtrade Minimum Price, traders and producers are to agree coffee prices using the Fairtrade reference for market prices as follows:						
	Year 0	Defenses modulated as					
		Reference market price NYC' (Arabica) or					
			London RC (Robus				
				low the <u>Fairtrade Minimum Pric</u>			
			s the prevailing dif	ice is based on the ICE New Yo ferential (positive or negative) for eight.			
		For Robusta coffee, the reference market price is based on the ICE London RC contract in US\$ per metric ton, plus the prevailing differential (positive or negative) for the relevant quality and origin, basis FOB origin and, net shipped weight.					
		The 'prevailing differential' refers to the average differential or range valid in the mainstream market for conventional coffee of that country and grade at that moment. Producers and buyers agree upon a differential, using the differential that prevails in the mainstream market for non-Fairtrade coffee, as a baseline, and taking into account actual quality, shipment date, logistics, risk, and availability. A negative differential cannot be applied to the Fairtrade Minimum Price.					
		um can never be below the leve 2. The Fairtrade Premium and o ed, clearly separated from the p ns.	organic differential				
		The Fairtrade coffee price is as follows:					
		Reference market pri (whichever is hi		+ Fairtrade organic differential	+ Fairtrade		
		NYC' (Arabica) or London RC (Robusta)	+ prevailing differential	(in case of organic coffee)	Premium		
	market pri below the differentia prevailing	Guidance: If the Reference market price is above the Fairtrade Minimum Price, contracts should clearly state the Reference market price by breaking down the NYC' or London RC prices plus the prevailing differential. If the Reference market price is below the Fairtrade Minimum Prices, then only the Fairtrade Minimum Price is sufficient. In both cases, the Fairtrade organic differential (in case of organic coffee) and the Fairtrade Premium should also be stated in the contracts, in addition to the prevailing differential, regardless of its value.					
	prevailing	The Fairtrade Premium and organic differential (in case of organic coffee) are to be added to that, clearly separated from the prevailing differential and are not subject to negotiation, but defined in the standards.					
	This is val	lid for price-to-be-fixed contracts ar	nd fixed-price contracts	S.			
Interpretation	To ensure pr and grade sp the Fairtrade The problem Prevailing dif	pecific prevailing diff Minimum Price. In statement: Iferentials are under	price for thei ferentials) ev rstood as pri	r coffee (including the en when market price ce variances which the he prevailing different	es are higher than		
	only on how r coffee of tha Differentials	nuch buyers are will t origin and grade, are very volatile, the	ling to pay in but also on ey are agree	the mainstream marken the specifications the don an individual bast for differential values.	et for conventional ne coffee product. sis between buyer		



possible to use such list as reference. This lack of a clear reference makes it impossible to assess whether reference values were paid. Furthermore it is also not possible to compare the prevailing differentials agreed upon and paid, to a reference prevailing differential.

To ensure the requirement is implemented and assessed in line with the intention of the standard, Fairtrade International is issuing this interpretation note on how prevailing differentials are to be audited.

How to audit the prevailing differentials if there is no official or generally accepted source for coffee differential that prevails in the mainstream market for non-Fairtrade coffee?

The Certification Body audits the following:

- 1. A prevailing differential has been agreed upon between the seller and buyer i.e. that a prevailing differential exists and is listed in the contract;
- The price breakdown is stipulated in all contracts, i.e. the agreed prevailing differential is mentioned explicitly and separately in a contract and, as best practice, also in the price fixation confirmation e.g. NYC December 2022 or fixed price + 0.10 USD/lbs prevailing differential + 0.40 USD/lbs organic differential + 0.20 USD/lbs Fairtrade Premium);
- 3. Market price plus agreed upon prevailing differential are not below Fairtrade Minimum Price. Differentials applied can either be zero differentials or even negative differentials, as long as market price plus the agreed upon differentials are not below the FT minimum price.

The Certification Body, does NOT audit the following:

- 4. The comparison of the prevailing differentials agreed upon between buyer and seller with information on prevailing differentials published (e.g. by Producer Networks or any other body);
- 5. The comparison of prevailing differentials agreed upon for Fairtrade contracts with prevailing differentials agreed upon for non-Fairtrade contracts;
- 6. Whether the agreed prevailing differential value had considered quality, shipment date, logistics, risk, and availability of the coffee.

This interpretation is applicable for price-to-be-fixed contracts or fixed-price contracts. The interpretation applies to the requirement with immediate effect in all upcoming audits.

With the above interpretation, the guidance to this requirement has also changed to reflect the current practise.